Approved For Release 2003/05/23 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003500190001-7

2 February 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR'S FILES

SUBJECT :

Responsibility for Peace-Time Planning for the War-Pire Conduct of Overt Fsychological Warfare

Since the Executive Secretary of the National Security Council issued a memo on February 1, 1949, "Responsibilities for Feace-lime Planning for the War-Time Conduct of Overt Psychological Warfart," giving three alternatives to be decided by the National Security Council as mentioned in paragraph 7 of my memo of January 25, 1949 on this subject, you may wish to file with your copy of the memo from the National Security Council, dated February 1, the following copy of my January 25th memo:

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT:

Responsibility for Peace-Time Planning for the War-Time Conduct of Overt Psychological Warfare

- 1. You will recall that on December 26, 1948 a draft of a MSE Staff "Memorandum for the National Security Council" on the above subject was covered neither in NSC 10/2 nor in NSC 4.
- 2. With the exception of the Air Force member, the NEC Staff recommended that this peace-time planning for war-time overt psychological warfare be placed within the State Department, which is conducting the peace-time overt (Annex A of the December 28 draft). The Air Force, however, wished to set up a nucleus organization responsible directly to the NSC (Annex B of the December 28 draft).
- 3. Now the Army has submitted, on December 31st, a proposed Annex C, giving this job to CAA's Office of Policy Coordination.
- h. I have talked this matter over with Messrs. Wisher and since this suggestion is different from CIA's policy established many months ago when CIA took the stand that present day operators should he the planners for similar war-time operations.
- 5. When the Army officer drafting Annex C asked OPC if it was "violently opposed", OPC answered that it was not "violently opposed" to Annex C believing in the right of freedom of speech and that the Army was entitled to its opinion on this subject. However, OPC did

25X1

not, and does not, seek this job. It does not want this job as it has higher priorities in other fields and Armex C offers mechanical difficulties. Of course, if ordered to take it, OPC would do the best is could.

- 6. Unless you disapprove, I shall give the above information to the NSC Staff when next we neet so that its members may use this information if they wish in their briefings. (Heretofore the Air Ferce was the only one opposed to giving this job to State.) It is not now known whether the Army will persuade the Navy to support its plan outlined in Annex C.
- 7. Since under pressure of the Air Force, the NSC Staff agreed to send the two alternate plans A and B to the Security Council for decision. Presumably we shall now have to add this third alternative. I assume you will have an opportunity when the Security Council is considering these plans by voting slip or at a meeting to express your opinion on Annex C.

PRESCOTT CHILDS

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

25 Jamiary 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR

Responsibility for Peace-Time Planning For The War-Time Subject: Conduct of Overt Psychological Warfare

- 1. You will recall that on December 28, 1948 a draft of a NSC Staff"Memorandum For the National Security Council" on the above subject was sent to the Consultants, as this subject was covered neither in NSC 10/2 nor in NSC 4.
- 2. With the exception of the Air Force member, the NSC Staff recommended that this peace-time planning for war-time overt psychological warfare be placed within the State Department, which is conducting the peace-time overt (Annex A of the December 28 draft). The Air Force, however, wished to set up a nucleus organization responsible directly to the NSC (Annex B of the December 28 draft).
- 3. Now the Army has submitted, on December 31st, a proposed Annex C, giving this job to CIA's Office of Policy Coordination.
- 4. I have talked this matter over with Messrs. Wisner and since this suggestion is different from CIA's policy established many months ago when CIA took the stand that present day operators should be the planners for similar war-time operations.
- 5. When the Army officer drafting Annex C asked OPC if it was "violently opposed", OPC answered that it was not "violently opposed" to Annex C -- believing in the right of freedom of speech and that the Army was entitled to its opinion on this subject. However, OFC did not, and does not, seek this job. It does not want this job as it has higher priorities in other fields and Annex C offers mechanical difficulties. Of course, if ordered to take it, OPC would do the best it could.
- 6. Unless you disapprove, I shall give the above information to the NSC Staff when next we meet so that its members may use this information if they wish in their briefings. (Heretofore the Air Force ras the only one opposed to giving this job to State.) It is not now known whether the Army will persuade the Navy to support its plan ortlined in Annex C.

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/05/23 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003500190004-7

7. Since under pressure of the Air Force, the NSC Staff agreed to send the two alternate plans A and B to the Security Council for decision. Presumably we shall now have to add this third alternative. I assume you will have an opportunity when the Security Council is considering these plans by voting slip or at a meeting to express your opinion on Annex C.

PRESCOTT CHILDS

70017 ACC Meeting approved Armen A

25X1

ILLEGIB

29 December 1948

MEMORANIUM FOR: THE DIRECTOR

Subject: Peace Time Planning for War Time Conduct of Overt Psychological Warfars

- 1. The NSC Staff paper on passe time pleaning for year time conjuct of overt psychological warfare is coming to the domination should be (a) at his the BSC to decide whether this nucleus organization should be (a) at his the Department of State, or (b) in a separate outfit directly responsible to the NSC.
- 2. It has with it two America. Armox (A) is the one prepared by and preferred by all of the Staff except the Air Force. Armox (B) the prepared in the Department of the Air Force and not amended or changed in any way by the Staff.
- 3. The Air Force objected so constantly to labeling their Ames (E) as their product and the Annex (A) as the product of all other members of the Staff that this fact is merely being pointed out in the "briefings" given in the other Departments.
- 4. The consensus of opinion among the Staff is (except for the Air Force) that Annex (B) is tripe. To us it merely indicates that the WANGE Subcommittee Psychological Warfare boys have been practicing that May preach on the Air Force.

PRESCOTT CRILES Chief, ICAPS Approved For Release 2003/05/23 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003500190001-7

SECRET

A like

21 October 1948

MEMORANDUM TO: Acting Director of Central Intelligence

ILLEGIB

SUBJECT:

Information Program

- 1. The NSC Staff paper based on State's proposal, and considerable discussion, to fill in the gap of NSC L about planning now for overt psychological operations in time of war, will be over today, enroute the Consultants.
- 2. In suggesting the planning nucleus, there is provision for CIA representation on this planning staff along with Army, Navy, Air and Resources Board. Presumably, it will be run by the State Department, somewhere in George Allen's office, and that the Block Committee, as such, will then be discontinued.
- 3. I see no great need for CIA participation, certainly not full time, on such a planning staff, which is to consider only overt operations in time of war. The NSC Staff, thought, however, that there should be a time in, because CIA is presumably planning for covert operations in time of war. As I recall it, the only consultants in the new paper will be Defense and State, as much of its language is derived from NSC 10/2.

PRESCOTT CHILDS CHIEF, ICAPS

25X1

SECRIT

-- Approved For Release 2003/05/23 : TARDP80R01731R003500190001-7

Recommendations of

SANACC Subcommittee for Special Studies and Evaluations

(on its own initiative)

Re: NSC Proposal?

- 1. In view of the fact that the proposed NSC paper recommends that this Subcommittee be abolished when the steps contemplated in the paper are implemented, and
- 2. Because such recommendations, if approved, would negate the effectiveness of any current work of the Subcommittee,
- 3. Accordingly, it is the recommendation of the SANACC Subcommittee for Special Studies and Evaluations that it cease all deliberations until this whole problem is clarified.

TOP SECRET